

Journal of Engineering, Energy and Informatics

We kindly ask you to review the attached scientific article using the questionnaire below. The author's (co-authors') identity has been temporarily concealed and the Reviewer's name will not be disclosed to the author. Please fill in this questionnaire confidentially and do not disclose it to third parties.

If you are unable to complete this review task in two days or the subject of the article is beyond your scope of interest, please notify the Editorial Team without delay. We would be grateful if you could assign another competent reviewer in that case.

Kind regards,
Secretary Editor

.....
date

Reviewer:

Name:

Address:

e-mail: tel:

ARTICLE REVIEW

.....
.....

Article title

Article identifier

Article assessment*

No.	Criterion	Assessment
1.	Overall work assessment	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outstanding Good Satisfactory Poor 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The article contributes to the development of science 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The article does not contain new information 	
2.	Is the title consistent with the content of the article?	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Partially (see Reviewer's comments) 	
3.	Is the introduction consistent with the scope of study? Does it correspond to the problem?	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It needs to be modified or supplemented 	
4.	Interpretation of results is (does not apply to theoretical works):	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Correct 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acceptable, if Reviewer's suggestions are taken into account 	
5.	Has the research goal been fulfilled?	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Partially 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No 	
6.	Selection and usage of sources	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Correct, including the latest Polish and foreign publications 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incomplete, lack of key sources of reference 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incorrect, inconsistent with the recommendations of the Editorial Team 	
7.	Summary and Author's conclusions are:	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Correct 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Must be improved 	
8.	Quality of English	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Correct 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Must be improved: 	
9.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attached formulas, tables and drawings are 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Correct 	

Journal of Engineering, Energy and Informatics

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Must be improved: (.....)	
10.	Final conclusion, the article may be:	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• approved for publication in the present form	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• approved for publication if Reviewer's suggestions are taken into account	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• approved after complete reworking and another review	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The article cannot be published.	

** Please put the "X" mark beside the right option*

Detailed comments / assessment justification:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....
Reviewer's signature